IJAD INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ART AND DESIGN

DOI: https://doi.org//10.69648/LIGB7560
International Journal of Art and Design (IJAD),
2025, 2(1): 47-54.
ijad.ibupress.com
Online ISSN: 2955-2400

Application :02.05.2024
Revision :12.06.2024
Acceptance :22.06.2025
Publication :30.06.2025

Ozokeraha, A. B. (2025). Mind-building sculpture: Forging thought through form. International journal of art and design, 2(1), 47-55.
https://doi.org//10.69648/LIGB7560

Ozokeraha, Akpowowo Bensandy,
Department of Fine Art and Industrial Design, Faculty of Environmental Sciences
Southern Delta University, Ozoro, Delta state, Nigeria.
Email: andchrisben@gmail.com

BALKAN JOURNALS

Mind-Building Sculpture: Forging Thought Through Form

Ozokeraha, Akpowowo Bensandy

Abstract

This article explores the concept of mind-building sculpture—a sculptural approach that transcends aesthetics and function to engage with the intellectual, emotional, and psychological landscapes of the observer. It examines how contemporary and classical sculptors embed cognitive frameworks within form, material, and spatial interaction, transforming sculpture into a catalyst for reflection, learning, and internal transformation. Drawing on examples from art history, phenomenology, and neuroscience, the study underscores sculpture's profound capacity to shape not only physical space but the human mind.

Keywords: mind-building, psychological, contemporary, beliefs, perceptions, emotions, and introspections cognitive, classical, intellectual, aesthetics, mind, and neuroscience.

Introduction

Sculpture, traditionally understood as the shaping of material into meaningful or beautiful form, has evolved into a multidimensional language. In the 21st century, sculpture increasingly intersects with cognitive and psychological domains, giving rise to what may be termed mind-building sculpture. This paradigm situates the artwork not merely as an object of observation but as a participant in constructing mental frameworks—beliefs, perceptions, emotions, and introspections (Noë, 2004).

In a world marked by sensory and visual overload and fleeting digital encounters, mind-building sculpture offers an anchor—a reflective pause where the viewer engages deeply, often unconsciously, with internal processes (Lippard, 1983). Art actually begins in the mind, lives in the mind, turns in and out before it is produced. The artist struggles between what is in his mind and what he produces, shaping and reshaping until there is agreement between the inner art and the outer art. Sometimes and somehow, there could be some differences between the two. To attempt to dissect the topic adequately, the paper is structured into eight parts: beginning with Introduction, Theoretical Framework: Space, Form, and Cognition, Materials as Mental Metaphors, Interaction, Participation, and Transformation, and Pedagogy Through Sculpture. Others are The Future of Mind-Building Sculpture, Formal and Contextual Analysis, and Conclusion.

Theoretical Framework: Space, Form, and Cognition

The relationship between space, form, and human cognition has long fascinated both artists and philosophers. Maurice Merleau-Ponty emphasized the embodied nature of perception, arguing that cognition is rooted in our physical interaction with the world (Merleau-Ponty, 1962). Sculpture uniquely capitalizes on this embodied engagement. Contemporary neuroscience supports this view, revealing that certain spatial and tactile stimuli can activate brain regions linked to memory, reasoning, and emotion (Zeki, 1999). Sculptors who design for this interaction craft forms that stimulate thought through sensory experience.

The sculptor, on the other hand, deploys sensory sculpture with a variety of materials to engage multiple senses, certainly beyond the use of sight. Some sculptural materials evoke different visual qualities. However, the focus is not on sensory materials, though they are important and relevant rather it is on the embodied nature of perception of certain sculptures, though materials are not neutral but metaphoric.

Materials as Mental Metaphors

In mind-building sculpture, materials are not neutral; they are active conveyors of metaphor. Anish Kapoor's use of concave and mirrored surfaces, for instance, invites viewers into a distorted self-reflection that challenges perceptual stability (Danto, 2001). Similarly, Ai Weiwei's works, such as Fragments (2005), reconfigure ancient architectural materials to question historical continuity and state power (Smith, 2011). Materials—be they stone, glass, metal, or reclaimed debris—embody cognitive states: fragility, permanence, distortion, and memory. They function as tactile texts inviting intellectual and emotional interpretation. They are either textural materials: fabric, paper, metal or plastic base or sound materials: wind chimes or some solid materials like beads, marble and bone. Sculptors engage such materials to create wind sculptures, soft sculpture (Fashion Designing), woven sculptures and invincible sculptures, which engage sense rather than sight.

Interaction, Participation, and Transformation

Unlike painting or photography, sculpture often demands bodily negotiation with its tactile quality. Viewers must walk around, under, or through sculptural works, engaging with them in ways that blur the boundary between observer and participant (Bishop, 2005). In Antony Gormley's Another Place (1997), life-sized figures on a beach induce a meditative reflection on solitude and mortality, evoking a shared psychological experience (Curtis, 2015). Interactive installations increasingly use motion sensors, sound, and light to create responsive environments, reinforcing the idea that art is a participatory event (Ascott, 2003). In such cases, the sculpture becomes a platform for shaping not only space, but also behavior and consciousness. The tactual quality is the physical features of the sculpture. The artist deploys it to create meaning and it invites, holds or repels viewers in the apprehension of a sculpture. Consequently, it is the means to a perceptual end. It is one of the keys that unlock the mystery of a sculpture to the senses, and it lies at the core of apprehension, enhancing the understanding of the viewers to summon knowledge in the premises of appreciation. With this nature of sculpture, its educational value, among others, is profound.

Pedagogy Through Sculpture

Sculpture as a pedagogical tool is gaining recognition in educational design and public art. These installations go beyond decoration—they prompt inquiry and

intellectual engagement. Sculptural works like Jean-Luc Marion's Square of Opposition, which visually interprets logical contradiction, provide an embodied encounter with abstract thought (Marion, 2000). Art integrated into learning environments has been shown to improve critical thinking, spatial reasoning, and emotional regulation (Winner et al., 2013). Mind-building sculpture thus has practical utility in cultivating reflective and adaptive learners.

The Future of Mind-Building Sculpture

As digital and biological interfaces evolve, the future of sculpture points toward environments that sense and responds to the mind itself. AI-driven art, neurofeedback sculpture, and augmented reality environments can now adapt to the emotional or cognitive state of the viewer in real time (Wilson, 2010). These innovations extend the sculptor's chisel/gauges/spatula from material to mental space. Artists will increasingly act as cognitive architects, designing experiences that foster resilience, empathy, and perspective-taking—traits urgently needed in a complex world (Bennett, 2018).

Observation/Formal and Contextual Analysis

Formal: Fig. 1: Crown Head is a drawing of figure head, a prototype of a monumental sculpture, built in the mind for environmental sustainability. Therefore, it is a sculpture of a large monumental scale, mounted on a high base in a serene environment in this study. The writer is a viewer who sometimes takes the personal plural pronoun where he finds it more appropriate and who is set to document his observation.

Now we are introduced to a human bust with a crown as an architectural structure. The head is a crown, the face on a slight tilted neck grows from a broad staircase shoulders. In this manner, the Fig. 1: Crown Head is naturally divided into four sections – crown, face, and shoulders.

The crown, structured into three levels with first, second staircases and a high rising walls, is an oval shape that rises towards the sky on the weight of solids and voids and linearity at the background of an architectural feel. The architectural layout provides us (viewers) with tensile pillars that rise from the forehead and the earlobe to form a solid base for two staircases of two floors; on the second floor stand rising walls. The artist assigns volumes, lines and voids to the pillars, which

terminate into a mass curvatures for the staircases. The voids of the pillars and the windows lead us, like the Church Ushers, to the dark interior.

The oval windows begin from the level of the staircases, which give a feel of enclosed force trying to find an escape route in a bottle-neck-like outlet. The staircases begin like parallel structures but converge as they extend outwards to form a vanishing point. The second staircase vanishes into the first one while the first one vanishes into space; they are very obedient as they are just obeying the law of perspective, the interactive effect between structures and space on vision. The third level is walls on arc pillars. The walls are round with an open top, made to obey the structure of a crown with organic expressive quality, such as a fruit.

The artist assigns magnitude to the entire crown, choosing a scale of a modern crown, a potential by which we are seduced, modernity in tradition, which encourages us to see things differently from what we think we know! Indeed, the rhythmic convexity of the crown is made to express energy trapped within, which starts to burst from the top of the windows and, especially, from the narrow top of the crown. That is not all. The artist provides us with strong evidence of his skill; he is subtle but decisive in introducing the viewers to the aesthetics of the crown, such that he combines abrupt and gentle transitions to summon convexity, concavity, and warped surfaces. Lines are assigned with roles like actors to have undercuts, which cast deep shadows and silhouettes to lead the viewers from one level to the other and from one interior to the other.

The treatment of the face is in consonance with the crown. It begins from a dropping neck, having minor forms expressed in realism, combining solids with voids to maintain the expression of the crown. The eyes on a heavily contoured face are voids. The forehead transits into the crown with staircases, introducing lines that divide an overall curvature frame with planes and angles. The convexity of the face is broken with the void of the eye, which is hollowed out and which makes it flat. And now the chest/shoulders! The artist is still ready to play some tricks. He turns, without consultation, the chest/shoulders of a human being into a staircase. Of course, the crown he assigns rooms and staircases to must be accessed from the ground floor, the shoulders. Therefore, it is not surprising that human shoulders have become a staircase divided into planes with lines of sharp angles.

Figure 1.

Crown Head, a Student's Class Assignment, 20 cm x 30 cm

Contextual: Fig.1: Crown Head is a sculptural approach that prefers intellectual, emotional, and psychological approaches to aesthetics and function, casting an allusion to the viewers. There is this realization that the sculpture bears some responsibilities to the world in which it exists, and it forms the key that will unravel the choices of the artist to navigate the meanings of the sculpture. It employs associative and Meta language to drive meanings. The sculptor deploys the crown as a signifier, capturing royalty, the story of a King with a universal appeal, which attests to the metaphor of wealth. It provides us with a mental picture of a king who could not meet his earthly tangible desires, so he excogitates the quality of wealth, capturing a type of building he ought to have acquired, signifying the level of wealth he never had. However, he never had it, and he is thrown into a pensive mood with his face almost dropping on his chest of a staircase.

Indeed, the sculpture succeeds in plunging viewers into the admissive relationship between space, form, and human cognition. So the authors who admit the embodied nature of perception and argue that cognition is rooted in the physical interaction with the world are right. The visual arts, especially the plastic arts, uniquely capitalize on this embodied engagement.

Conclusion

Mind-building sculpture represents a convergence of material, perception, and cognition. It transforms viewers from passive observers into active thinkers, encouraging introspection, memory, and meaning-making. In shaping form, sculptors shape thought—and in turn, society. Amid global crises of attention, empathy, and identity, sculpture stands as a potent tool for rebuilding the internal architecture of the human mind, and the Fig. 1: Head Crown is just apt in this regard.

Once again, the writer credits the original work whose artist is not known to him but whose work was only brought to him through a class assignment by a student.

References

Ascott, R. (2003). Telematic embrace: Visionary theories of art, technology, and consciousness. University of California Press.

Bennett, J. (2018). The enchantment of modern life: Attachments, crossings, and ethics. Princeton University Press.

Bishop, C. (2005). Installation art: A critical history. Tate Publishing.

Curtis, P. (2015). Antony Gormley. Tate Publishing.

Danto, A. C. (2001). The Madonna of the future: Essays in a pluralistic art world. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Lippard, L. R. (1983). Overlay: Contemporary art and the art of prehistory. Pantheon Books.

Marion, J.-L. (2000). The crossing of the visible (J. K. A. Smith, Trans.). Stanford University Press.

Merleau-Ponty, M. (1962). Phenomenology of perception (C. Smith, Trans.). Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Noë, A. (2004). Action in perception. MIT Press.

Smith, K. (2011). Ai Weiwei. Phaidon Press.

Wilson, S. (2010). Art + science now: How scientific research and technological innovation are becoming key to 21st-century aesthetics. Thames & Hudson.

Winner, E., Goldstein, T. R., & Vincent-Lancrin, S. (2013). Art for art's sake? The impact of arts education. OECD Publishing.

Zeki, S. (1999). Inner vision: An exploration of art and the brain. Oxford University Press.